the question and do that work. A Special Officer has been appointed for the purpose. We feel that a Committee might be better and we are considering that aspect of the question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the Mysore General Clauses (Amendment) Bill, 1953, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Bill will now be taken up clause by clause.

Clauses 2 to 14. The question is :

"That clauses 2 to 14 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 to 14 were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 1. The question is :

"That clause 1 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1 was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Title and Preamble. The question is :

"That the Title and Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

The Title and Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Mysore General Clauses (Amendment) Bill, 1953, as passed by the Legislative Council, be passed."

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the Mysore General Clauses (Amendment) Bill, 1953, as passed by the Legislative Council, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

THE EVACUEE INTEREST (SEPARATION) MYSORE SUPPLEMENTARY BILL, 1953.

Motion to consider.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Evacuee Interest (Separation) Mysore Supplementary Bill, 1953, be taken into consideration."

Sir, in the Central Legislature, this Bill was introduced and also passed on 27th September 1951. Regarding this legislation, it is seen that it is not enough if the Central Legislature passed the Bill, but it should have the support and the consent of the States also. At first, it was thought that a resolution by various States supporting the Bill was sufficient. Accordingly on 10th November 1951, a supporting resolution was passed by this House under Article 252 of the Constitution. Similarly, other States also sent in their supporting resolutions. But it was found that the resolutions passed by the various States did not come in time or came in the form other than the correct form. Therefore, they were requested to pass this supplementary legislation in support of the Central Evacuee Separation Bill. Regarding the principle of the Bill, it has already been sufficiently discussed on the previous occasion. I may say this much. The evacuees who left the country left several dependents and relations who had interest in the property. How should such joint property be dealt with? Part of it was in the title of the gentlemen who left the country and the other part with the people who were left behind. Therefore, provision has been made in the Central Act as to how they should be divided and dealt with. In order to do this, this supplementary Bill has been put before the House for its consideration.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the Evacuee Interest (Separation) Mysore Supplementary Bill, 1953, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We will now take up the Bill clause by clause.

Clause 2. The question is :

"That clause 2 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 1. The question is :

"That clause 1 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1 was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Title and Preamble. The question is :

"That the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

The Title and Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Evacuee Interest (Separation) Mysore Supplementary Bill, 1953, be passed."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved.

"That the Evacuee Interest (Separation) Mysore Supplementary Bill, 1953, be passed."

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Tumkur).—I fail to understand the reason why this Act shall be deemed to have come into force from 15th December 1952.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—The Centre has to extend this Act throughout India from that date.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA (Turuvekere).—Why, Sir ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Why, I cannot say.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—I really wanted to know why it should be given retrospective effect. If it is the intention of the Central Government that the Act should be supported by a resolution passed under Article 252 of the Constitution by the State Legislature, then, Sir, that resolution has already been passd as long ago as on 10th November 1951.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—It was passed by the Centre on 27th September 1951 and the resolution supporting it was passed by us on 10th November 1951.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—The Evacuee Interest (Separation) Act was thereafter passed by Parliament. In view of the lacunæ which have come to the notice of the Government about which the Hon'ble Minister has not been communicative--for we would have been grateful to him if he had told us what those lacunæ have been and whether any of those instances have originated in Mysore—they have now suggested that the necessary supplementary legislation may be passed by the State Legislature and given effect to as from 15th December 1952. Why should 15th December 1952 be the date from which this Act should come into force ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I have already described the lacunæ found. I shall read the same thing.

"The resolutions of the various States under Article 252 were passed before, during and after the Legislation, and the supporting resolution from this State has been dated 10th November 1951, obviously after the date. The other reason was, some States have in their resolution included a limitation of the period within which Parliament might legislate. That was an infringement of the powers of Parliament."

These were the two lacunæ. They have addressed all States to pass this supplementary legislation and fixed a date, perhaps, not to complicate matters further as to from which date it should come into operation. Hence, we have adopted the date given by the Central Government.

12 NOON.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ (ತುರುವೇಕೆರೆ).—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ನಾವು ಪಾಕಿಸ್ತಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಓಡಿಹೋಗಿರುವವರ ಆಸ್ತಿಪಾಸ್ತಿಗಳ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಗಾ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿರುವಂತೆ ಪಾಕಿಸ್ತಾನದವರೂ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಓಡಿ ಬಂದಿರುವವರ ಆಸ್ತಿಪಾಸ್ತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆಯಿಂದ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ? Is there reciprocity in this matter in Pakistan also?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—We expect reciprocity and proceed with goodwill.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—What is it to-day, Sir?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—We want to do justice irrespective of what they are doing.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ರೆಫ್ಯುಜೀಗಳ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಈಗ ಪಾಕಿಸ್ತಾನದಲ್ಲೂ ಒಬ್ಬ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಇಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಒಬ್ಬರು ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಾವಿಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಪಾಕಿಸ್ತಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಓಡಿಹೋಗಿರುವವರ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಅವರು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದದ್ದು ಸೂಕ್ತವೆಂದು ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನು ಅವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಕೂಡ ಇದೇ ರೀತಿ ಆಸ್ತಿಪಾಸ್ತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಓಡಿಬಂದಿರುವವರ ಆಸ್ತಿಪಾಸ್ತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸುರಕ್ಷಿತವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ, ಇದೇ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಕಾನೂನನ್ನು enact ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I have no information to give at present. But I shall forward the good suggestion of the Hon'ble Member.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That the Evacuee Interest (Separation) Mysore Supplementary Bill, 1953, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

MYSORE MUNICIPAL LAWS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1953.

Motion for consideration.

Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Mysore Municipal Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1953, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration."

Sir, this is a very simple measure and I have nothing to add to the observations contained in the Statement of Objects and Reasons.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

"That the Mysore Municipal Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1953, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration."

*ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ (ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರಿಗೂ ಮತ್ತು ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೂ 23-1-1950ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಂದವಾಗಿದೆ. ಆ ಒಪ್ಪಂದದ ರೀತ್ಯಾ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರು ತಮಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಸ್ವಂತ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕಂದಾಯ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿ ಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ರೀತಿ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರು ಕಂದಾಯ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ 1950 ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯಿಂದ 1953 ಇಸವಿ ಈ ತಹಲ್‌ವರೆಗೂ ಅವರ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಾವ ಕಂದಾಯವಾಗಲಿ, ಅವರ ಮನೆಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಾವ ತೆರಿಗೆಯಾಗಲಿ ವಿಧಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು 6-2-51ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಜಮೀನುಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ವಿಧಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಮನೆಗಳಮೇಲೆ ತೆರಿಗೆ ಹಾಕಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೂ ಆ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲೂ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಯಾವ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನೂ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಮೈಸೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಮನೆಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ತೆರಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಹಾಕುವ ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿಯ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಈ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ತಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈಗಿರುವ ಮೈಸೂರು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಆಕ್ಟಿನ 61ನೆಯ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ನಿನ ಪ್ರಾವಿಸೋನಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಸ್ವಂತ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ಹಾಕಕೂಡದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ಇವರು ಇದನ್ನು ತಂದಿರತಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರಕಾರ

"No tax of any kind imposed as aforesaid shall be leviable in the City of Mysore in respect of the Palace and the buildings and lands appurtenant thereto, belonging to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore."